

# Parliaments as an Objective of Analysis in Political Science

Ayjeren B. Rozyjumayeva and Jan Schwalbach

Winter Term, 2020/21

---

Ayjeren B. Rozyjumayeva

E-mail: rozyjumayeva@wiso.uni-koeln.de

---

Jan Schwalbach

E-mail: schwalbach@wiso.uni-koeln.de

Office Hours: please send us an email if you want to meet individually.

---

## Course Outline

Parliaments are a fundamental component of democratic systems. Nevertheless, some of these institutions differ considerably between countries and over time. These differences and their consequences for the political system in a country are the focus of this seminar.

The aim of this course is to give the participants an insight into some basic features and fundamental questions of political science in the field of legislative politics. One focus is on the theoretical foundations of legislative politics: In the first block of the seminar, we address relevant actors, namely parties, governments and the opposition. We then turn to relevant processes in and around parliament. In addition to party competition, we will discuss the process of policy-making and the analysis of speeches in parliaments. In a final part, we will then turn to more specific fields of parliamentary research, looking at the representation of different groups in parliament, as well as the communication and use of social media by parliamentarians.

A second focus is on getting to know relevant data sets in parliamentary research and simple ways of analysing them. This will enable us to check some of the questions and relationships that we have discussed theoretically before. In addition, this should enable you to start developing your own ideas and questions, which you can use in later papers and theses.

**Prerequisites:** The seminar is designed for the Bachelor's programme in Social Sciences (Sozialwissenschaften). In general, no specific prior knowledge is required. Since the seminar is held in English, participants should be able to understand and articulate themselves in English as this will be the general classroom language. Of course, perfection is not required but you should be able to make yourself understood. A previous knowledge of data analysis and statistics can be helpful, but is not a prerequisite.

### Assignments and Grading:

- Term paper (70%), Presentation (30%).
- Each participant should prepare and present one of the core readings as a presentation of approximately 15 minutes. The topics/papers will be distributed within the first two weeks of classes. Presentation slides have to be sent to the instructors via email a day before the presentation. The slides can also be shared with other participants via ILIAS but it is not a must.
- At the end of the semester, each student is to submit a term paper of 10-12 pages. The paper should critically review one of the compulsory readings. Simple re-telling of a paper is not considered a critical review. The paper should be analyzed against the context of the seminar topic at large and critically discussed. Further research is encouraged but not needed. The term paper and presentation can be on the same topic but do not have to be. When doing both on the same topic, we expect that the term paper is not an exact copy of the presentation but rather a further development and analysis of a given topic. More detailed information will be given throughout the course.
- Bonus points: Bonus points can be achieved by sending in discussion questions before the seminar. These must be sent to us by mail by Wednesday evening at the latest. Further details will follow in the first session.

### Important Dates:

Examination registration .....End of the second week of classes  
Term paper topic confirmation .....01. February 2021  
Submission of the term paper .....29. February 2021

### Class Participation and Plagiarism

- Participation: Students are expected to read the assigned texts as well as actively participate during each session. Bonus points will be distributed for class participation and discussion questions.
- Assignments and plagiarism: The assignments are to be done individually. Plagiarized works are not accepted and will be subject to the university regulations on plagiarism. All assignments will be checked for plagiarism.

## Schedule and Literature

### Week 1: Introduction to Legislative Studies - November 2nd, 2020

#### Literature

- Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm. Introduction. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 1–25. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014
- Michael Gallagher, Michael Laver, and Peter Mair. *Representative Government in Modern Europe. Institutions, Parties, and Government*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, Chapter 3

#### Additional Literature

- Amie Kreppel. Typologies and classifications. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 82–100. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014
- Michael Laver. Legislatures and parliaments in comparative context. pages 121–140, 2006

### Week 2: Parliaments and Parties - November 9th, 2020

#### Literature

- Markku Laakso and Rein Taagepera. “effective” number of parties: A measure with application to west europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 12(1):3–27, 1979

#### Additional Literature

- Arend Lijphart. *Patterns of Democracy. Government Forms and Performances in Thirty-Six Countries*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 1999, Chapter 5
- Thomas Saalfeld and Kaare W. Strøm. Political parties and legislators. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 371–398. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014

### Week 3: Government and Opposition - November 16th , 2020

#### Literature

- Tom Louwense, Simon Otjes, David M Willumsen, and Patrik Öhberg. Reaching across the aisle: Explaining government–opposition voting in parliament. *Party Politics*, 23(6):746–759, 2017

#### Additional Literature

- Robert A. Dahl. *Political Oppositions in Western Democracies*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 1966
- Kaare Strøm. *Minority Government and Majority Rule*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990
- Rudy B. Anderweg. Parties in parliament: The blurring of opposition. In Wolfgang C. Müller and Hanne Marthe Naurud, editors, *Party Governance and Party Democracy*, pages 99–114. Springer, New York, 2013

## Week 4: Party Competition and Elections - November 23th, 2020

### Literature

- Kenneth Benoit and Michael Laver. *Party Policy in Modern Democracy*. Routledge, London, 2006, Chapter 2
- G. Bingham Powell Jr. *Elections as Instruments of Democracy: Majoritarian and Proportional Visions*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 2000, Chapter 1

### Additional Literature

- James F Adams, Samuel Merrill III, and Bernard Grofman. *A unified theory of party competition: A cross-national analysis integrating spatial and behavioral factors*. Cambridge University Press, 2005, Chapter 1

## Week 5: Parliamentary Debates and Speech - November 30th, 2020

### Literature

- Sven-Oliver Proksch and Jonathan B. Slapin. Institutional foundations of legislative speech. *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(3):520–537, 2012
- Daniela Giannetti and Andrea Pedrazzani. Rules and speeches: How parliamentary rules affect legislators' speech-making behavior. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 41(3):771–800, 2016

### Additional Literature

- Jonathan B. Slapin and Sven-Oliver Proksch. A scaling model for estimating time-series party positions from texts. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(3):705–722, 2008

## Week 6: Data Session I - December 7th, 2019

### Data

- [ParlGov](#)
- [Party Facts](#)
- [ParlSpeech](#)

## Week 7: Policy-Making and Agenda-Setting - December 14th, 2019

### Literature

- Bjørn Rasch. Institutional foundations of legislative agenda-setting. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 455–480. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014
- Georg Tsebelis. *Veto Players. How Political Institutions Work*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2002, Chapter 4

*Additional Literature*

- Christoph Knill and Jale Tosun. Policy-making. In Danielle Caramani, editor, *Comparative Politics*, pages 349–362. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017
- Thomas Romer and Howard Rosenthal. Political resource allocation, controlled agendas, and the status quo. *Public choice*, 33(4):27–43, 1978
- Fabrizio Gilardi, Charles R Shipan, and Bruno Wueest. Policy diffusion: The issue-definition stage. *University of Zurich and University of Michigan*, 2017

**Week 8: Representation- January 4th, 2021**

*Literature*

- Daniel Stockemer. Women's descriptive representation in developed and developing countries. *International Political Science Review*, 36(4):393–408, 2015

*Additional Literature*

- Jane Mansbridge. Rethinking representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4):515–528, 2003
- Peter Mair and Jacques Thomassen. Political representation and government in the european union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 17(1):20–35, 2010

**Week 9: Communication and Social Media - January 11th, 2021**

*Literature*

- Sharon E Jarvis. Political messages and partisanship. In Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*, pages 133–164. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017

*Additional Literature*

- Daniela V Dimitrova and Jörg Matthes. Social media in political campaigning around the world: Theoretical and methodological challenges, 2018
- Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. *The Oxford handbook of political communication*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017, Chapters 1, 3, 11, 12
- Andrew Chadwick. *The hybrid media system: Politics and power*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017, Chapter 1
- Sebastian Stier, Arnim Bleier, Haiko Lietz, and Markus Strohmaier. Election campaigning on social media: Politicians, audiences, and the mediation of political communication on facebook and twitter. *Political Communication*, 35(1):50–74, 2018

## **Week 10: Data Session II - January 18th, 2021**

### *Data*

- [The Comparative Agendas Project](#)
- [Social Media Data for the German federal elections 2017](#)

## **Week 11: Parliaments in Presidential Systems - January 25th, 2021**

### *Literature*

- Scott Morgenstern, Juan Javier Negri, and Aníbal Pérez-Liñán. Parliamentary opposition in non-parliamentary regimes: Latin America. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 14(1-2):160–189, 2008

### *Additional Literature*

- Nina Wiesehomeier and Kenneth Benoit. Presidents, parties, and policy competition. *The Journal of Politics*, 71(4):1435–1447, 2009
- Josep M. Colomer and Gabriel L. Negretto. Can presidentialism work like parliamentarism? *Government and Opposition*, 40(1):60–89, 2005

## **Week 12: Parliaments in Authoritarian Regimes - February 1st, 2020**

### *Literature*

- Paul Schuler and Edmund J. Malesky. Authoritarian legislatures. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 676–695. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014

### *Additional Literature*

- Ora John Reuter and Graeme B. Robertson. Legislatures, cooptation, and social protest in contemporary authoritarian regimes. *The Journal of Politics*, 77(1):235–248, 2015
- Edmund Malesky and Paul Schuler. Nodding or needling: Analyzing delegate responsiveness in an authoritarian parliament. *American Political Science Review*, 104(3):482–502, 2010

## **Week 13: Outlook and Concluding Discussion- February 8th, 2020**

### *Additional Literature*

- Claudia Heffler and Katjana Gattermann. Interparliamentary cooperation in the European Union: Patterns, problems and potential. In Claudia Heffler, Christine Neuhold, Olivier Rozenberg, and Julie Smith, editors, *Comparative Politics*, pages 94–115. Palgrave Macmillan, New York, 2015

## References

- James F Adams, Samuel Merrill III, and Bernard Grofman. *A unified theory of party competition: A cross-national analysis integrating spatial and behavioral factors*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- Rudy B. Anderweg. Parties in parliament: The blurring of opposition. In Wolfgang C. Müller and Hanne Marthe Naurud, editors, *Party Governance and Party Democracy*, pages 99–114. Springer, New York, 2013.
- Kenneth Benoit and Michael Laver. *Party Policy in Modern Democracy*. Routledge, London, 2006.
- Andrew Chadwick. *The hybrid media system: Politics and power*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017.
- Josep M. Colomer and Gabriel L. Negretto. Can presidentialism work like parliamentarism? *Government and Opposition*, 40(1):60–89, 2005.
- Robert A. Dahl. *Political Oppositions in Western Democracies*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 1966.
- Daniela V Dimitrova and Jörg Matthes. Social media in political campaigning around the world: Theoretical and methodological challenges, 2018.
- Michael Gallagher, Michael Laver, and Peter Mair. *Representative Government in Modern Europe. Institutions, Parties, and Government*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006.
- Daniela Giannetti and Andrea Pedrazzani. Rules and speeches: How parliamentary rules affect legislators' speech-making behavior. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 41(3):771–800, 2016.
- Fabrizio Gilardi, Charles R Shipan, and Bruno Wueest. Policy diffusion: The issue-definition stage. *University of Zurich and University of Michigan*, 2017.
- Claudia Heffler and Katjana Gattermann. Interparliamentary cooperation in the european union: Patterns, problems and potential. In Claudia Heffler, Christine Neuhold, Olivier Rozenberg, and Julie Smith, editors, *Comparative Politics*, pages 94–115. Palgrave Macmillan, New York, 2015.
- Sharon E Jarvis. Political messages and partisanship. In Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*, pages 133–164. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017.
- G. Bingham Powell Jr. *Elections as Instruments of Democracy: Majoritarian and Proportional Visions*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 2000.
- Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. *The Oxford handbook of political communication*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017.
- Christoph Knill and Jale Tosun. Policy-making. In Danielle Caramani, editor, *Comparative Politics*, pages 349–362. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017.

- Amie Kreppel. Typologies and classifications. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 82–100. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- Markku Laakso and Rein Taagepera. “effective” number of parties: A measure with application to west europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 12(1):3–27, 1979.
- Michael Laver. Legislatures and parliaments in comparative context. pages 121–140, 2006.
- Arend Lijphart. *Patterns of Democracy. Government Forms and Performances in Thirty-Six Countries*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 1999.
- Tom Louwse, Simon Otjes, David M Willumsen, and Patrik Öhberg. Reaching across the aisle: Explaining government–opposition voting in parliament. *Party Politics*, 23(6):746–759, 2017.
- Peter Mair and Jacques Thomassen. Political representation and government in the european union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 17(1):20–35, 2010.
- Edmund Malesky and Paul Schuler. Nodding or needling: Analyzing delegate responsiveness in an authoritarian parliament. *American Political Science Review*, 104(3):482–502, 2010.
- Jane Mansbridge. Rethinking representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4):515–528, 2003.
- Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm. Introduction. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 1–25. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- Scott Morgenstern, Juan Javier Negri, and Aníbal Pérez-Liñán. Parliamentary opposition in non-parliamentary regimes: Latin america. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 14(1-2):160–189, 2008.
- Sven-Oliver Proksch and Jonathan B. Slapin. Institutional foundations of legislative speech. *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(3):520–537, 2012.
- Bjørn Rasch. Institutional foundations of legislative agenda-setting. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 455–480. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- Ora John Reuter and Graeme B. Robertson. Legislatures, cooptation, and social protest in contemporary authoritarian regimes. *The Journal of Politics*, 77(1):235–248, 2015.
- Thomas Romer and Howard Rosenthal. Political resource allocation, controlled agendas, and the status quo. *Public choice*, 33(4):27–43, 1978.
- Thomas Saalfeld and Kaare W. Strøm. Political parties and legislators. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 371–398. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- Paul Schuler and Edmund J. Malesky. Authoritarian legislatures. In Shane Martin, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm, editors, *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, pages 676–695. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.



Jonathan B. Slapin and Sven-Oliver Proksch. A scaling model for estimating time-series party positions from texts. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(3):705–722, 2008.

Sebastian Stier, Arnim Bleier, Haiko Lietz, and Markus Strohmaier. Election campaigning on social media: Politicians, audiences, and the mediation of political communication on facebook and twitter. *Political Communication*, 35(1):50–74, 2018.

Daniel Stockemer. Women’s descriptive representation in developed and developing countries. *International Political Science Review*, 36(4):393–408, 2015.

Kaare Strøm. *Minority Government and Majority Rule*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

Georg Tsebelis. *Veto Players. How Political Institutions Work*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2002.

Nina Wiesehomeier and Kenneth Benoit. Presidents, parties, and policy competition. *The Journal of Politics*, 71(4):1435–1447, 2009.